2015 Transportation Asset Management Peer Exchange –

Integrating Risk
Management in
Transportation Asset
Management Programs

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Steve Gaj, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Contracting Officer's Technical Representative, Matt Hardy from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and Tim Henkel from the Minnesota Department of Transportation for their support and technical guidance during this project. This report is based upon work supported by the Federal Highway Administration under contract number DTGH61-13-A-00002. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Highway Administration.

Notice

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in this document. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

The U.S. Government does not endorse products of manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

Quality Assurance Statement

FHWA provides high-quality information to serve government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Gov	ernment Accession N	lo.	3. Reci	pient's Catalog No.	
FHWA <mark>–XXX-XX-XXX</mark>						
4. Title and Subtitle				5. Report Date		
2015 Transportation Asset Management Peer Exchange – Int				Octobe	er 2015	
Risk Management in Transporta	tion Asse	et Management Prog	rams			
				6. Perf	orming Organization (Code
7. Authors				8. Perf	orming Organization F	teport No.
Hyun-A Park, Scott Richrath, Sar						
				40.11	1	
9. Performing Organization Nar Spy Pond Partners, LLC	10. Work Unit No.					
1165R Massachusetts Avenue, S	11. Contract or Grant No.					
Arlington, MA 02476	11. Contract of Grant No.					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name a	nd Addre	nec .		13 Tvr	oe of Report and Perio	d Covered
Federal Highway Administration		Final Report				
Office of Asset Management, Pavements, and Construction				That Report		
1200 New Jersey Ave, SE		14. Sponsoring Agency Code				
Washington, DC 20590						
15. Supplementary Notes				•		
16. Abstract						
This report summarizes the prod	_	•		_	_	•
Federal Highway Administration	•					tion Officials
(AASHTO). The peer exchange was held in Minneapolis, Minnesota on August 25-26, 2015.						
17. Key Words			18. Distribution Statement			
Transportation asset management, Asset management			No restrictions.			
plans, Risk Management, MAP-2	21					
19. Security Classif. (of this repo	ort)	20. Security Classif	(of this page	۵۱	21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	טו נן	Unclassified	. (Or this page	-	40	22. FIICE
Officiassificu		Officiassified			70	

Table of Contents

1	Overview	1
	1.1 Peer Exchange Purpose	1
	1.2 Peer Exchange Format	
	1.3 Peer Exchange Agenda	
	1.4 Peer Exchange Participants	
2	Joint Session with ERM Workshop	8
_	2.1 Introduction	
	2.2 Rich Tetreault, VTrans	
	2.3 Kirby Becker, Minnesota DOT	
	2.4 Steve Gaj, FHWA	
	2.5 Discussion	
3	Peer Exchange Introduction	12
4	Risk-Based Asset Management Plans	13
•	4.1 William Johnson, Colorado DOT	
	4.2 Dave Wresinski, Michigan DOT	
	4.3 Kirby Becker, Minnesota DOT	
	4.4 Rudy Malfabon, Nevada DOT	
	4.5 Tammy Haas, New Mexico DOT	
	4.6 Scott Zainhofsky, North Dakota DOT	
	4.7 Martin Kidner, Wyoming DOT	18
	4.8 Group Discussion: Risk-Based Asset Management Plans	19
5	Day 1 Summary	22
6	Emerging Innovation	23
•	6.1 Colorado Risk- Resiliency Model Johnny Olson (Colorado DOT) and Aimee Flanne	
	(Applied Engineering Management)	
7	TAM, Risk Management, and Extreme Weather	27
	7.1 Dave Kuhn, New Jersey DOT	
	7.2 Rich Tetreault, VTrans	
	7.3 Mike Johnson, Caltrans	
	7.4 Q&A and Discussion on TAM, Risk Management, and Extreme Weather	
8	Risk Management in TAM Initiatives	33
•	8.1 John Milton, Washington State DOT	
	8.2 Randy Park, Utah DOT	
	8.3 Matt Haubrich, Iowa DOT	
	8.4 Q&A and Group Discussion on Risk Management in TAM Initiatives	
9	Peer Exchange Wrap-Up	40
-		

1 Overview

This report summarizes the proceedings of the 2015 Transportation Asset Management Peer Exchange hosted by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). The peer exchange was held in Minneapolis, Minnesota on August 25-26, 2015.

1.1 Peer Exchange Purpose

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) sponsored Asset Management Peer Exchange aims to share best and current practices in transportation asset management (TAM). This peer exchange focused on enhancing the integration of risk management into TAM programs and activities. Participants from state Departments of Transportation (DOTs) presented their agencies' lessons learned and best practices regarding risk management and TAM to their peers. This event provided a forum for state officials to discuss personal experiences and broach questions concerning risk management and its relationship to TAM, receive feedback on upcoming plans, and support a national knowledge base of TAM tools and techniques.

Key Issues Discussed

The peer exchange centered around four topics:

- Risk-Based Asset Management Plans
- Emerging Innovation
- TAM, Risk Management, and Extreme Weather
- Risk Management in TAM Initiatives

It also shared a joint session with the AASHTO Enterprise Risk Workshop.

The workshop panels and facilitated discussions were spurred by a series of questions facing state DOTs:

- What are good models for the development and implementation of risk management activities in transportation asset management programs?
- How can comprehensive risk analysis during the programming process improve asset management results?
- How is information from risk management processes included in the development of the Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP)?
- What is the relationship of the information that is used from risk management processes for the TAMP and how is information used for the development of Statewide Transportation Improvement Plans (TIPs)?
- How does risk management impact performance targets? How is this information tied to the programming of projects?

• What are best practices for collecting, analyzing, and integrating the data necessary to conduct risk analysis and identify decision-making milestones?

1.2 Peer Exchange Format

The peer exchange consisted of four sessions focused on the four topics outlined on the previous page, plus a joint session with the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Workshop. Each panel included speaker presentations followed by a facilitated discussion on that panel's theme. Each of the two days concluded with a wrap-up summary and further discussion. This format was designed to encourage dialog on TAM assessment and analysis, performance, tools and techniques, and planning and programming.

Steve Gaj (FHWA) and Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT) provided the introduction to the peer exchange and joint session. This was followed by a joint session with the ERM Workshop, focused on how risk-based asset management programs can catalyze ERM. The panel included presentations from Rich Tetreault, (Vermont/VTRANS); Kirby Becker (Minnesota DOT); and Steve Gaj (FHWA); and was facilitated by Gordon Proctor (Gordon Proctor & Associates) and Shobna Varma (StarIsis). A roundtable discussion was then led by Hyun-A Park and Scott Richrath (Spy Pond Partners).

Following the conclusion of the joint session and the ERM workshop, a peer exchange welcome was offered by Steve Gaj (FHWA); Mike Patterson (Oklahoma DOT); and Matt Hardy (AASHTO).

The first panel of the peer exchange, *Risk-Based Asset Management Plans*, provided an update on how risk is being integrated into the TAMP development processes in various DOTs, and whether the TAMP is having an impact on business activities. This panel included presentations from William Johnson (Colorado DOT); Dave Wresinski (Michigan DOT); Kirby Becker (Minnesota DOT); Rudy Malfabon (Nevada DOT); Tammy Haas (New Mexico DOT); Scott Zainhofsky (North Dakota DOT); and Martin Kidner (Wyoming DOT). This panel was followed by a group discussion led by Michael Henry of Arkansas DOT. Following this, Steve Gaj (FHWA) provided a wrap-up and summary of the first day of the peer exchange.

An introduction to Day 2 was provided by Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT). This was followed by the second presentation of the peer exchange, *Emerging Innovation*, featuring Johnny Olson (Colorado DOT) and Aimee Flannery (Applied Engineering Management/AEM) discussing Colorado DOT's Risk-Resiliency Model.

The third panel discussed the interplay between TAM, risk management, and extreme weather events. It included presentations by Dave Kuhn (New Jersey DOT); Rich Tetreault (VTrans); and Mike Johnson (Caltrans). This was followed by a Q&A session led by Jean Nehme (Arizona DOT).

The fourth and final panel, *Risk Management in TAM Initiatives*, featured the experiences of three DOTs on how risk management has been integrated into TAM initiatives and what the impact has been thus far. Presentations were contributed by John Milton (Washington State DOT); Randy Park (Utah DOT); and Matt Haubrich (Iowa DOT). A group discussion was then led by Dave Ooten (Oklahoma DOT). The peer exchange was concluded with a summary by Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT) and Steve Gaj (FHWA).

1.3 Peer Exchange Agenda

Day 1: Tuesday, August 25, 2015

Introductions

12:00 PM Peer Exchange Introduction

Steve Gaj (FHWA), Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT)

Peer Exchange Agenda Overview

Hyun-A Park

Joint Session with ERM Workshop

1:00 PM How Risk-Based Asset Management Programs can Catalyze ERM

- New York State's risk-based asset management plan lessons
- Minnesota's risk-based asset management plan lessons
- Vermont's risks identified for its asset management plan
- Colorado risk-based asset management plan lessons

Facilitated by Gordon Proctor and Shobna Varma

2:00 PM Round Table Discussion

Lessons learned and how to apply risk management to key agency processes

Facilitated by Scott Richrath and Hyun-A Park

2:45 PM ERM Workshop Wrap-Up:

Developing the Roadmap Nationally and in Your Agency

Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT)

Break

Peer Exchange Welcome

3:15 PM FHWA Welcome

Steve Gaj (FHWA)

AASHTO Welcome

Mike Patterson (Oklahoma DOT) & Matt Hardy (AASHTO)

3:45 PM Risk Based Asset Management Plans

Presenters will be given a set of questions to answer and give a ten minute presentation on their state's update on how risk is being integrated into the TAMP and if there are any updates on the impacts of the TAMP in business activities of their DOT.

Colorado DOT

William Johnson (Colorado DOT)

Michigan DOT

Dave Wresinski (Michigan DOT)

Minnesota DOT

Kirby Becker (Minnesota DOT)

Nevada DOT

Rudy Malfabon (Nevada DOT)

New Mexico DOT

Tammy Haas (New Mexico DOT)

North Dakota DOT

Scott Zainhofsky (North Dakota DOT)

Wyoming DOT

Martin Kidner (Wyoming DOT

5:00 PM Group Discussion

Question Leader: Michael Henry (Arkansas DOT)

Day 1 Wrap Up

5:30 PM Peer Exchange Summary

Steve Gaj (FHWA)

Day 2: Wednesday, August 26, 2015

Day 2 Introduction

8:15 AM Day 2 Introduction

Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT)

Emerging Innovation

8:20 AM Colorado Risk-Resiliency Model

Johnny Olson (Colorado DOT)

Aimee Flannery (Applied Engineering Management Corporation)

TAM, Risk Management, and Extreme Weather

8:50 AM New Jersey

Dave Kuhn (New Jersey DOT)

9:05 AM Vermont

Rich Tetreault (Vermont DOT)

9:20 AM California

Mike Johnson (California DOT)

9:35 AM Group Discussion

Question Leader: Jean Nehme (Arizona DOT)

Break

Risk Management in TAM Initiatives

10:15 AM Washington State

John Milton (Washington State DOT)

10:35 AM Utah

Randy Park (Utah DOT)

10:55 AM Iowa

John Selmer (Iowa DOT)

11:15 AM Group Discussion

Question Leader: Dave Ooten (Oklahoma DOT)

Peer Exchange Wrap-up

11:45 AM Summary of Peer Exchange Discussion, Ideas to Consider for Subcommittee Meeting Tim Henkel (Minnesota DOT), Steve Gaj (FHWA)